arXiv:1212.4047v1 [cond-mat.other] 17 Dec 2012

Zero frequency conductivity as a geometric phase

Balázs Hetényi

Department of Physics, Bilkent University
06800, Ankara, Turkey

December 18, 2012

Abstract

It is demonstrated that the zero frequency conductivity, or Drude weight, can be expressed in terms of a geometric phase. The resulting expression is then cast in terms of ground state expectation values over the total momentum shift and total position operators, leading to easy numerical access. The criterion for insulation is demonstrated to be the localization in the many-body configuration space relative to the localization of individual charge carriers.

The physical significance of geometric phases resulting from adiabatic cycles was first emphasized by Pancharatnam [1] and Berry [2]. Since the appearance of these seminal papers the Berry phase has become ubiquitous in describing essential physical phenomena [3, 4] ranging in applications from quantum chemistry to topological insulators. One important quantity described by a Berry phase is polarization in crystalline systems [5, 6]. In the modern theory polarization is cast in terms of the integrated current between two states connected by an adiabatic path. In numerical applications the polarization is easiest to calculate in terms of the ground state expectation value of the total momentum shift operator [7, 8]. This development has simplified the calculation of the polarization considerably, ans is now in widespread use in density functional calculations.

An analogous formalism with appropriate modifications is also valid for the linear current response [9]. Polarization and current are described in terms of a particular type of geometric phase, sometimes referred to as a Zak phase [10]. For these phases the adiabatic cycle is a result of the periodicity of the relevant parameter space (the crystal momentum in the case of polarization, and the average position in the case of the current). The phase in this case is a line integral over an open curve, from one boundary of the periodic parameter space to the other.

The Berry phase in general cannot be cast in terms of a line integral over an open curve. The integrals usually enclose surfaces in two or more dimensions. A well-known example is the Hall conductance [11], where the relevant phase is an integral around the two-dimensional Brillouin zone. The phase associated with Hall conductance was the first physical example of a topological invariant (TKNN invariant [11]). A number of important examples exist of Hamiltonians tuned to produce finite TKNN invariants. The Haldane model [12] is a modified tight-binding model on a hexagonal lattice which exhibits quantized Hall conductance, and was the first example which does this in the absence of an external magnetic field. Other extensions of the tight-binding hexagonal model, the Kane-Mele model [13, 14], exhibit different topological invariants, and are the fundamental models for topological insulation in two-dimensions. Up to now these types of phases have not been expressed in terms of a ground state expectation value as was done by Resta [7, 8] for polarization.

In this paper we first derive a general procedure for evaluating the spread in quantities which correspond to phases of the Zak type. In the course of this demonstration we argue that the quantities which define the Berry phase can be interpreted as operators in the space of external parameters which describe a system. For example the Hall conductance corresponds to a commutator between such operators. Subsequently we show that the zero frequency conductivity can also be cast in terms of a geometric phase, similar to the one corresponding to the Hall conductivity, as a commutator of heuristic operators. The expression for the phase contribution is then cast in terms of the ground state expectation value of shift operators, facilitating numerical access. The connection between localization and insulation, first suggested in a seminal paper by Kohn [15], is made precise: the Drude weight, the criterion for insulation, depends on the localization in the many-body configuration space *relative to* the localization of individual charge carriers.

The most general way to obtain the Berry phase is to write it in the discrete representation, and then take the continuous limit. One considers a Hamiltonian which depends smoothly on some parameter ξ. In this case the ground state wavefunction will also be a smooth function of the parameters ξ. For a set of points $\{\xi_i\}$ one can form the quantity

$$\phi = -\mathrm{Im} \ln \prod_{I=0}^{N-1} \langle \Psi(\xi_I) | \Psi(\xi_{I+1}) \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $|\Psi(\xi_N)\rangle = |\Psi(\xi_0)\rangle$ (cyclic process). The phase ϕ is a quantity physically well-defined, since the arbitrary phases of the different wavefunctions cancel. We can consider a closed curve in the parameter space ξ and take the set of points $\{\xi_I\}$ to be along the curve in the order of the indices I. We can then take $N \to \infty$ corresponding to the continuous limit. Expansion in the distances between points results in

$$\phi = i \oint \mathrm{d}\xi \cdot \langle \Psi(\xi)|\nabla_\xi|\Psi(\xi)\rangle. \tag{2}$$

The line integral in Eq. (2) is similar to an expectation value over the operator $i\nabla_\xi$. In fact in the modern theory of polarization [5], in which polarization is expressed in terms of a Berry phase, the quantity $i\partial_K$ (with K the crystal momentum) plays the role of a "heuristic total position operator" [16]. Similarly, the current can be expressed as a Berry phase [9] consisting of a line integral over the operator $i\partial_X$ (where X denotes the sum over positions of particles).

If we were to consider the real part of the product of scalar products in Eq. (1) the continuous limit would be ill-defined. Indeed the first order term in the expansion (corresponding to the Berry phase) would disappear, and in the second order term the quantity $\mathrm{d}\xi$ would be squared. It turns out that one can nevertheless obtain a physically well-defined quantity from expanding Eq. (1) up to second order. To show this we first consider the Berry phase corresponding to the polarization as written by Resta [7, 8]. We stress though that the derivation is general. Resta showed that the average position over some wavefunction $|\Psi\rangle$ of a system with unit cell dimension L can be written as

$$\langle X\rangle = -\frac{1}{\Delta K}\mathrm{Im}\ln\langle \Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta K = 2\pi/(N_k L)$, N_k denotes an integer, $\hat{X} = \sum_I \hat{x}_I$ is the sum of the positions of all particles. The spread ($\sigma_X^2 = \langle X^2\rangle - \langle X\rangle^2$ in position can be written

$$\sigma_X^2 = -\frac{2}{\Delta K^2}\mathrm{Re}\ln\langle \Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi\rangle, \tag{4}$$

The operator $e^{i\Delta K\hat{X}}$ is the total momentum shift operator which, as has been shown elsewhere [17] has the property that for a state $|\Psi(K)\rangle$ with particular crystal momentum K

$$e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi(K)\rangle = |\Psi(K+\Delta K)\rangle, \tag{5}$$

in other words it shifts the crystal momentum by ΔK. To use the shift operator we first write

$$\sigma_X^2 = -\frac{2}{N_k\Delta K^2}\mathrm{Re}\ln\langle \Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi\rangle^{N_k}. \tag{6}$$

We associate the state $|\Psi\rangle$ with a particular crystal momentum K_0,

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi(K_0)\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Using the total momentum shift the scalar product can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Psi(K_0)|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi(K_0)\rangle &= \langle\Psi(K_0)|\Psi(K_1)\rangle \\ &= \langle\Psi(K_I)|\Psi(K_{I+1})\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $K_{I+1} = K_I + \Delta K$. To show the last equation one applies the Hermitian conjugate of the total momentum shift to $\langle\Psi(K_0)|$ I times and the total momentum shift operator to $|\Psi(K_0)\rangle$ $I+1$ times and forms the scalar product. Thus we can also write

$$\langle\Psi(K_0)|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi(K_0)\rangle^{N_k} = \prod_{I=0}^{N_k-1}\langle\Psi(K_I)|\Psi(K_{I+1})\rangle. \tag{9}$$

The points K_I form an evenly spaced grid with spacing ΔK in the Brillouin zone. Using this result the spread can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_X^2 = -\frac{2}{\Delta K^2}\sum_{I=0}^{N_k}\mathrm{Re}\ln\langle\Psi(K_I)|\Psi(K_{I+1})\rangle, \tag{10}$$

We now expand the scalar products up to second order as

$$\langle\Psi(K_I)|\Psi(K_{I+1})\rangle = 1 + \Delta K\langle\Psi(K_I)|\partial_K|\Psi(K_I)\rangle + \frac{\Delta K^2}{2}\langle\Psi(K_I)|\partial_K|\Psi(K_I)\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Subsequent expansion of the logarithm up to first order leads to a first and second order term in ΔK. The first order term is given by

$$\frac{N_k L^2}{2\pi^2}\mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=0}^{N_k-1}\Delta K\langle\Psi(K_I)|\partial_K|\Psi(K_I)\rangle. \tag{12}$$

In the continuum limit ($N_k \to \infty$) the sum turns into the integral which gives the standard Berry phase, but since this integral is purely imaginary it will not contribute to the spread. The final result for the spread is

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_X^2 &= -\frac{L}{2\pi}\sum_{I=0}^{N_k-1}\Delta K\langle\Psi(K_I)|\partial_K^2|\Psi(K_I)\rangle \qquad (13)\\ &= -\frac{L}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi/L}^{\pi/L}\mathrm{d}K\langle\Psi(K)|\partial_K^2|\Psi(K)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (13) supports the idea that the quantity $i\partial_K$ behaves like an operator. Indeed the line integral over the square of $i\partial_K$ results in the second moment of the total position (the square of the polarization centered around the average polarization). We define

$$\tilde{X} = i\partial_K. \tag{14}$$

The derivation leading to Eq. (13) holds for phases of the Zak type, in which the cyclic nature of the adiabatic path results from the periodicity of the parameter space.

Another example for which the above derivation is valid is the current resulting from a Peierls type perturbation. We consider a system with Hamiltonian $H(\Phi)$, with Φ denoting the Peierls phase corresponding to the perturbation. $E(\Phi) = \langle\Psi(\Phi)|H(\Phi)|\Psi(\Phi)\rangle$ denotes the ground state energy. To keep the discussion as general as possible we first expand the Hamiltonian up to second order in Φ and obtain

$$H(\Phi) = H(0) + \Phi H'(0) + \frac{\Phi^2}{2}H''(0). \tag{15}$$

For both continuous and lattice Hamiltonians the following identities hold:

$$H'(0) = i[\hat{H}, \hat{X}] = \hat{J}, \tag{16}$$

and

$$H''(0) = i[\hat{J}, \hat{X}]. \tag{17}$$

where $\hat{X}$ denotes the sum over all positions, and $\hat{J}$ denotes the current operator for the sytem considered, both independent of the boundary conditions. The operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{J}$ are, of course, different for continuous or lattice systems.

The total current is given by the derivative of the ground state energy with respect to the Peierls phase. Using the second order expansion of the Hamiltonian one can show that

$$J(\Phi) = \frac{\partial E(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi} = \alpha\Phi + \langle\Psi(\Phi)|H'(0)|\Psi(\Phi)\rangle, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\alpha = i\langle\Psi(\Phi)|[\hat{J}, \hat{X}]|\Psi(\Phi)\rangle. \tag{19}$$

It has been shown elsewhere [9] that the first term can be converted into a geometric phase. The result of that derivation is

$$J(\Phi) = \alpha\Phi - \frac{i}{L}\int_0^L \mathrm{d}X\langle\Psi(\Phi, X)|\partial_X\Psi(\Phi, X)\rangle, \tag{20}$$

where $\Psi(\Phi, X)$ denotes the ground state wavefunction under a perturbing field Φ and with total position X. Wavefunctions with any X can be obtained by applying the position shift operator to some starting wavefunction with some total position X. One can write the discrete analog of $J(\Phi)$ as

$$J(\Phi) = \alpha\Phi - \frac{1}{\Delta X}\mathrm{Im}\ln\langle\Psi(\Phi, X_0)|e^{-i\Delta X\hat{K}}|\Psi(\Phi, X_0)\rangle, \tag{21}$$

where X_0 is the total position of the ground state wavefunction $\Psi(\Phi, X_0)$ and $\Delta X = L/N_x$, with N_x integer. The operator $e^{-i\Delta X\hat{K}}$ is the total position shift operator [18, 9], and has the property that

$$e^{i\Delta X\hat{K}}|\Psi(X)\rangle = |\Psi(X+\Delta X)\rangle. \tag{22}$$

Without loss of generality we restrict to an unperturbed system $\Phi = 0$. For such a system the spread in current ($\sigma_J^2 = \langle J^2\rangle - \langle J\rangle^2$) may be written as

$$\sigma_J^2 = \frac{2}{\Delta X}\mathrm{Re}\ln\langle\Psi(X_0)|e^{-i\Delta X\hat{K}}|\Psi(X_0)\rangle. \tag{23}$$

The continuous limit ($N_x \to \infty$) in this case is

$$\langle J^2\rangle - \langle J\rangle^2 = -\frac{1}{L}\int_0^L \mathrm{d}X\langle\Psi(X)|\partial_X^2|\Psi(X)\rangle, \tag{24}$$

where X denotes the average position within the periodic cell. The expression $i\partial_X$ can be interpreted as a heuristic current operator. We define

$$\tilde{J} = i\partial_X. \tag{25}$$

We now consider the DC conductivity [15] and show that it can also be expressed in terms of a geometric phase. The DC conductivity is given by the expression [15]

$$D_c = \frac{\pi}{L}\left[\frac{\partial^2 E(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi^2}\right]_{\Phi=0}. \tag{26}$$

To obtain the Drude weight we take the derivative of the current $J(\Phi)$ with respect to Φ and obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi^2} = \alpha - \frac{i}{L}\int_0^L \mathrm{d}X(\langle\partial_\Phi\Psi(\Phi,X)|\partial_X\Psi(\Phi,X)\rangle - \langle\partial_X\Psi(\Phi,X)|\partial_\Phi\Psi(\Phi,X)\rangle). \tag{27}$$

The derivative ∂_Φ can be set equal to the derivative in the crystal momentum ∂_K, and subsequently an average over the Brillouin zone can be performed, which results in

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi^2} = \alpha + \gamma \tag{28}$$

with

$$\gamma = -\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi/L}^{\pi/L}\int_0^L \mathrm{d}K\mathrm{d}X(\langle\partial_K\Psi(K,X)|\partial_X\Psi(K,X)\rangle - \langle\partial_X\Psi(K,X)|\partial_K\Psi(K,X)\rangle). \tag{29}$$

One can see from Eq. (28) that the Drude weight consists of the expectation values of two commutators; one of the standard current and position operators, the other between the total current and total position operators (Eqs. (14) and (25)). The latter result are the contribution due to the boundaries, whose role in conduction vs. insulation was first emphasized by Kohn [15]. The second term in Eq. (28) corresponds to a geometric phase and it can be converted into a line integral around a closed curve by applying the Stokes theorem.

We can also convert the Drude weight into an expression of the type derived for the polarization by Resta [7, 8]. After an integration by parts and using the fact that the representation of the operator $i\partial_X$ in reciprocal space is K we can write

$$\gamma = -\frac{L}{\pi}\int_{-\pi/L}^{\pi/L} \mathrm{d}K \mathrm{Re} K[\langle\Psi(K)|\partial_K\Psi(K)\rangle]. \tag{30}$$

Using a discretization of $\Delta K = (2\pi)/(N_k L)$ we can write the integral as

$$\gamma = -\frac{2}{N_k}\sum_{I=-N_k/2}^{N_k/2-1} I \mathrm{Re}[\Delta K\langle\Psi(K)|\partial_K\Psi(K)\rangle]. \tag{31}$$

For small ΔK we can replace the summand by

$$\gamma = -\frac{2}{N_k}\sum_{I=-N_k/2}^{N_k/2-1} I \mathrm{Re}\ln[1+\Delta K\langle\Psi(K)|\partial_K\Psi(K)\rangle]. \tag{32}$$

which is equal to

$$\gamma = -\frac{2}{N_k}\sum_{I=-N_k/2}^{N_k/2-1} \mathrm{Re}\ln\langle\Psi(K)|\Psi(K+\Delta K)\rangle^I. \tag{33}$$

Using Eq. (8) and evaluating the sum on I results in

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\ln\langle\Psi(K)|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi(K)\rangle. \tag{34}$$

In simplifying the Drude weight we can go one step further. The quantity α is an expectation value over the commutator $i[\hat{J},\hat{X}]$. The operators $\hat{J}$ and $\hat{X}$ are sums over the current and position operators of individual particles, as a result the commutator itself becomes a sum over individual contributions $i\sum_j^{N_p}[\hat{k}_j,\hat{x}_j]$, with N_p being the number of particles. The position of an individual particle can be represented as $i\partial_{\hat{k}_j}$, hence α takes the form

$$\alpha = i\sum_j^{N_p}\langle\Psi|[\hat{k}_j,\hat{\partial}_{k_j}]|\Psi\rangle, \tag{35}$$

which can, via the same reasoning used to arrive at Eq. (34) can be converted into

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\ln\prod_{j=1}^{N_p}\langle\Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{x}_j}|\Psi\rangle \tag{36}$$

in other words a sum over *single particle* contributions similar in form to γ. The Drude weight then becomes

$$D_c = \mathrm{Re}\ln\frac{\langle\Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{X}}|\Psi\rangle}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_p}\langle\Psi|e^{-i\Delta K\hat{x}_j}|\Psi\rangle}. \tag{37}$$

This results refine the tenet of Kohn [15] regarding the connection between insulation and localization. A DC conductivity of zero will result if the localization of the system as a whole is equal to that of the product of individual charge carriers.

The above formalism can be applied, with minor modifications, to the Hall conductance, which is given by [11]

$$\sigma_H = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{d}K_x\mathrm{d}K_y(\langle\partial_{K_x}\Psi|\partial_{K_y}\Psi\rangle - \langle\partial_{K_y}\Psi|\partial_{K_x}\Psi\rangle) \tag{38}$$

Here the expectation value is over the commutator expression $i[\partial_{K_x}, \partial_{K_y}]$. For a vector potential of the form $(0, eBx)$ the Hamiltonian will have the form

$$H = \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{(p_y - eBx)^2}{2m} + V(x, y). \tag{39}$$

Shifting the momenta in the y direction amounts to shifting the positions in the x direction, apart from the modification of the potential $V(x, y)$ whose x component is also modified, but since that amounts to shifting the whole system the Hall conductance will be unaffected. One can then substitute the momentum shift in the y direction with a total position shift on the x direction and proceed as above.

In this paper the DC conductivity (Drude weight) was expressed in terms of a geometric phase similar of the same form as the TKNN invariant. The expression was then converted into an expectation value over the ground state wavefunction. The Drude weight was thereby demonstrated to depend on the ratio between the localization of individual particles and that of the system as a whole.

The author acknowledges a grant from the Turkish agency for basic research (TÜBITAK, grant no. 112T176).

References

[1] S. Pancharatnam, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A* **44** 247 (1956).

[2] M. V. Berry, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* **A392** 45 (1984).

[3] A. Shapere and F. Wilczek, *Geometric Phases in Physics*, World Scientific, (1989).

[4] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** 1959 (2010).

[5] R. Resta, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66** 899 (1994).

[6] R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. B* **47** 1651 (1993).

[7] R. Resta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 1800 (1998).

[8] R. Resta and S. Sorella, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 370 (1999).

[9] B. Hetényi, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **81** 124711 (2012).

[10] J. Zak, *Phys. Rev.* **62** 2747 (1989).

[11] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs *Phys. Rev. Lett* **49** 405 (1982).

[12] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** 2015 (1988).

[13] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 226801 (2005).

[14] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 146802 (2005).

[15] W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **133** A171 (1964).

[16] D. Vanderbilt and R. Resta, in *Contemporary Concepts of Condensed Matter Science* Chapter 5, pp. 139, Elsevier, (2006).

[17] B. Hetényi, *J. Phys. A*, **42** 412003 (2009).

[18] F. H. L. Essler, H. Frahm, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and V. E. Korepin, *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model*, Cambridge University Press, (2005).